



How is it that I pay \$150 per month for 350 channels and.... There is never anything to watch!



http://tvbythenumbers.zap2it.com/

There are <u>very few companies</u> that own the necessary infrastructure to <u>provide service</u>

TRUE FOR TV CABLE PROVIDERS

TRUE FOR LAKE MODELERS



"All models are wrong, but some are useful"

George E.P. Box – Statistician, Princeton University

Types of Models:

<u>Statistical</u> – linear and non-linear regression, logistic regression, hierarchy, categorical (anything with a *p* value)

<u>Other empirical</u> – simple mathematical formulations that directly link predictor and outcome (usually involve statistics)

<u>Physically-based models</u> – complex mathematical formulations to simulate physical, chemical, and biological variation on a short time step (often daily)

Let's cover some lake models used for eutrophication management and TMDL work

Examples of Models: Statistical



The phosphorus–chlorophyll relationship in $lakes^{\scriptscriptstyle 1,\,2}$

P. J. Dillon³ and F. H. Rigler Department of Zoology, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario

y = mx + bSummer chla = m[P] + b

Examples of Models: Other Empirical

Vollenweider (1968)

$$L_{c} = \frac{[P]_{c} \times q_{s}}{(1 + \sqrt{\tau_{w}})}$$



$$\begin{split} &L_c = \text{critical P load (mg P m^{-2} y^{-1})} \\ &[P]_c = \text{critical P concentration of lake (mg/L)} \\ &q_s = \text{annual hydraulic load (m y^{-1})} \\ &\tau_w = \text{average water residence time (y)} \end{split}$$

Timestep = annual

Combination of Vollenweider with Dillon and Rigler gets you:

The annual phosphorus load reduction needed to reduce algal biomass to a certain level

This assumes:

Lake has come into equilibrium with watershed
Other factors like internal loading do not dominate

We deal with these assumptions by increasing model complexity: <u># of processes simulated and a finer resolution time step</u> Internal P loading is controlled by and influences multiple in-lake variables



Examples of Models: Physically-based



What modelers promise and what they provide are often very different

WHY?

Five reasons modeling efforts sometimes derail

- Science is used as a tool to perform lake models rather than lake models being used as a tool to perform science
- Biological variables cannot be simulated as well as physical and chemical variables
- Lake models need sufficient data for calibration and validation
- Different ecosystem process control biological structure and function in different lakes
- Inference should be based on straightforward hypothesis testing of well-calibrated and validated models

• Science is used as a tool to perform lake models rather than lake models being used as a tool to perform science





HAVE YOU HUGGED A LIMNOLOGIST TODAY ? "LIMNOLOGY" - THE STUDY OF INLAND WATERS

• Biological variables cannot be simulated as well as physical and chemical variables



White et al. 2010

• Biological variables cannot be simulated as well as physical and chemical variables



White et al. 2010

• Lake models need sufficient data for calibration and validation

- Most advanced models run on a daily time step
- Daily calibration data is not an option
- Daily and weekly variation can be substantial
- What resolution of monitoring data is needed for calibration?





The phosphorus–chlorophyll relationship in $lakes^{1,\,2}$

P. J. Dillon³ and F. H. Rigler Department of Zoology, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario

y = mx + bSummer chla = m[P] + b



EPA National Lake Assessment Data 2007 http://water.epa.gov/type/lakes/lakessurvey_index.cfm



EPA National Lake Assessment Data 2007 http://water.epa.gov/type/lakes/lakessurvey_index.cfm



EPA National Lake Assessment Data 2007 http://water.epa.gov/type/lakes/lakessurvey_index.cfm • Inference should be based on straightforward hypothesis testing of well-calibrated and validated models

Testable modeling hypotheses:

Twenty five percent reduction in P loading will reduce average annual algal biomass by 5x because lake processes are in equilibrium with watershed loading

Untestable modeling hypothesis:

Blue-green algae will dominate algal biomass under increased CO2 conditions because blue-greens outcompete other algae during summer CO2 drawdown

Five reasons modeling efforts sometimes derail

- Science is used as a tool to perform lake models rather than lake models being used as a tool to perform science
- Biological variables cannot be simulated as well as physical and chemical variables
- Lake models need sufficient data for calibration and validation
- Different ecosystem process control biological structure and function in different lakes
- Inference should be based on straightforward hypothesis testing of well-calibrated and validated models

Modeling as a tool to do science REQUIRES multidisciplinary collaboration



Higher education institutions need to:

- Promote modeling opportunities at B.S. and M.S. levels
- Use models for hypothesis testing
- Get involved in model applications

Federal and State agencies that need modeling efforts need to:

- Consider the cost benefit of a poorly constructed model with more empirical data
- Use resources to promote responsible and quality model development through systematic programs

THIS CAN HELP US AVOID:

